



Method Statement: Hydrological Modelling November 2022



| Title | Method Statement: Hydrological modelling |
|--|---|
| Last updated | November 2022 |
| Version | Draft regional plan version |
| History of changes made to this version | June 2020 – First Draft Written July 2020 – comments from PMB, comments from technical working group, and comments from QA review incorporated August 2021 – post consultation version November 2022 – draft regional plan version |
| Summary of other substantive changes made, and reasons for these | Removal of sections which are not related to methods |
| Author | Peter Blair |
| Approved by | Meyrick Gough |
| WRSE Director approval | Meyrick Gough |

Email: contact@wrse.org.uk

For the full library of WRSE Method Statements, please visit <u>wrse.org.uk/library</u>.

A consultation on the WRSE Method Statements was undertaken in Autumn 2020 – the consultation details can be viewed on the WRSE engagement hq platform at <u>https://wrse.uk.engagementhq.com/method-statements.</u>



Table of Contents

| E) | XECUTIVE SUMMARY | 1 |
|----|--|--------|
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 3 |
| 2 | METHODS AND APPROACH | 4 |
| | Development & use of hydrological models for WRSE Methods for simulating groundwater-surface water interactions | 4 |
| | DECISION POINTS & DOCUMENTATION CONFIDENCE GRADES | 8 9 |
| 3 | SUMMARY | 10 |
| 4 | NEXT STEPS | 11 |



Executive Summary

Water Resources South East (WRSE) is developing a multi-sector, regional resilience plan to secure water supplies for the South East until 2100.

We have prepared method statements setting out the processes and procedures we will follow when preparing all the technical elements for our regional resilience plan. We consulted on these early in the plan preparation process to ensure that our methods are transparent and, as far as possible, reflect the views and requirements of customers and stakeholders.

Figure ES1 illustrates how this hydrological modelling method statement will contribute to the preparation process for the regional resilience plan.

The supply forecast for WRSE's regional plan relies heavily on outputs from the regional simulation model. The regional simulation in turn relies heavily on hydrological and hydrogeological inputs and so this method statement details the hydrological modelling being undertaken for the WRSE regional plan.



Figure ES1: Overview of the method statements and their role in the development of the WRSE regional resilience plan





1 Introduction

- 1.1 Hydrological modelling underpins much of the supply-side analysis undertaken in water resources planning. Many different hydrological modelling approaches exist due to the wide variety of applications required of these models, for instance the models used to investigate flooding are quite different to those used to investigate drought. Different hydrological models also perform differently when applied to different catchment types. For instance, some models may be better at predicting flows in chalk catchments, while others may be better suited to application in sandstone or clay catchments. Different water companies within WRSE rely on different hydrological models and take different approaches to dealing with non-natural influences on river flows. WRSE will not have an aligned approach to a specific hydrological model in AMP7 due to the variety of catchments, although the weather input datasets that are used to derive flows using the hydrological models will be consistent and coherent.
- 1.2 The regional system simulator (Method Statement 1331 WRSE Regional System Simulator) requires river flows as inputs to the model, and rainfall-runoff models will not be included within this model. System simulation will also be undertaken on a wider range of areas than has previously been the case. These two things mean that some companies have undertaken developmental work on hydrological modelling to underpin the new WRSE regional system simulator. This is not the case for all water companies.
- 1.3 Water companies have made significant commitments to reducing groundwater abstractions where this abstraction is potentially environmentally detrimental. The most notable recent commitments relate to reductions that WRSE companies will make in 'chalk stream' catchments. The Environment Agency are also doing a significant amount of work to investigate how climate change may impact the environment in the future, and have indicated that other, very significant reductions in abstraction may be required to protect the environment (refer to Method Statement 1333 WRSE Environmental Ambition). It is recognised that reductions in groundwater abstraction can affect streamflow, which as well as providing environmental benefit can in turn have an impact on the downstream availability of surface water for abstraction. However, system simulation methods used in WRMP19 do not account for the streamflow benefits associated with reductions in groundwater abstraction. As such, analysis has been undertaken to allow the regional system simulator to include mechanisms that will allow an assessment of the impacts that potential future reductions in groundwater abstraction may have on downstream surface water abstractions and/or environmental receptors.



2 Methods and approach

Development & use of hydrological models for WRSE

- 2.1 River flows are an important input for a water resources simulation model. The regional simulation model will not incorporate rainfall-runoff models directly, but requires flows as an input, and these flows must be based on the rainfall and PET data from the stochastic climate datasets which have been developed for the WRSE region.
- 2.2 In some cases, existing company hydrological models exist which are suitable for providing flows for use in the WRSE regional simulation model. In other cases, existing company hydrological models either do not exist or are not suitable for inclusion in the regional simulation model, and as such new hydrological models have been generated in some locations. Please see WRSE-commissioned reports for technical details about the models used, calibration methods and calibration results for new models which have been produced (reports available on request – please email <u>contact@wrse.org.uk</u>).
- 2.3 Figure 1 shows how hydrological modelling fits into the WRSE RSS modelling chain. With WRSE-owned data feeding into company owned hydrological models, feeding into the WRSE simulation model, which is used to produce outputs such as deployable output.



Figure 1: Hydrological Modelling within the Wider RSS Programme

2.4 An important aspect to consider in hydrological modelling is whether flows produced are 'naturalised' or 'denaturalised'. Where flows are denaturalised, the abstraction time series that underpin denaturalisation are also important. In all cases it is important that the combination of flow inputs and adjustments are consistent such that the flows account for abstractions and effluent returns which apply to the situation being considered (e.g. a DO run).



- 2.5 WRSE companies are applying methods appropriate for their WRZs to ensure that, whether inside or outside of the regional simulation model, appropriate flows exist within the regional simulation model. Denaturalisation routines may be different for different model uses. For example, the DO methodology (Method Statement 1320 WRSE Deployable Output) states that when finding DO for one zone/sub-region, the demand in other zones should be held at WRMP19 Year 5 Final Plan DI. As such, flow inputs for DO runs should be reasonably representative of abstractions & effluent returns corresponding with this situation. Water company technical representatives should document how flows have been produced and the denaturalisation approaches that have been applied.
- 2.6 Where a company's approach to denaturalisation has the potential to impact on flows in another company's WRZ(s), the relevant companies should work together to determine appropriate methods. WRSE technical leads will review approaches across the region to ensure consistency. It is possible that the consideration of denaturalisation may result in feedback loops, with a denaturalisation being carried out using a set of assumptions regarding abstraction which may not hold true in system simulator model runs; it is hoped that this feedback loop will not have material impact.
- 2.7 It is recognised that WRSE member companies will use different methods for denaturalisation, for determining the impacts of both abstractions and discharges, and it is acceptable that different methods are applied, so long as the use of different methods is justified and the impact is understood. Allowing companies to determine denaturalisation methods will help in providing consistency between company plans and the WRSE regional plan.
- 2.8 The impact of changing other abstractors resulting from the multisector approach (Method Statement 1334 WRSE Multi Sector Approach) will also be taken into account where there are significant changes to the denaturalisation process.
- 2.9 The generation of flows has been considered as being outside of the remit of the WRSE regional system simulator (RSS) project. Water companies are responsible for providing flow inputs to the WRSE RSS and water company technical leads are responsible for ensuring that the impacts of abstractions and discharges have been accounted for, but have not been double counted within their sub-model area(s) (e.g. advising/checking on representation of effluent returns and impacts of abstraction within the model and ensuring that flow inputs are appropriate).
- 2.10 WRSE is an alliance of water companies, rather than a regulator or entity in its own right. As such, WRSE will not impose any acceptability criteria on hydrological models used by companies and instead relies on companies to provide appropriate inputs. Due to the heavily time-restricted programme for development of the WRSE plan, there has not been the time for a full review of hydrological models and methods that have been used.
- 2.11 The RSS has been developed with significant company guidance. The RSS is made up of company/ WRZ/sub-regional sub-models. Water companies will know the locations for which flows inputs are needed for their sub-model(s).



- 2.12 Flows should be produced for the four hundred 48-year replicates produced by the WRSE stochastic dataset work package.
- 2.13 For climate change scenarios, WRSE will inform companies of the replicates which will be used and the change factors to be applied. Companies will again provide flows required for the RSS to be run.
- 2.14 Stochastic data has been produced for WRSE at point locations (see Atkins Stochastic report available on request, email <u>contact@wrse.org.uk</u>). The various hydrological and hydrogeological models that companies use will have been calibrated using different datasets. Direct application of the stochastic data may result in bias of model outputs and some models may require gridded input data; as such companies may need to factor and/or re-grid stochastic datasets. Companies will inform WRSE how they have applied the stochastic data and WRSE will collate responses.
- 2.15 There are some cases in which different companies abstract from the same rivers. In these cases, different companies may have different models for the same river and may also make different assumptions around denaturalisation. In such cases, changing models and/or denaturalisation methods has the potential to cause differences between regional assessments and past company assessments. Initially, company sub-models will use existing hydrological inputs, in order to validate new models against existing company models/assessments. When applying WRSE models and conducting regional assessments, companies and the WRSE modelling team will work together to formulate ways to validate and use models in these circumstances, as well as understanding differences that will be created through conducting analysis on a regional scale.
- 2.16 It is recognised that there are uncertainties and potential feedback loops in the application of hydrological models in underpinning WRSE's modelling assessments, with companies initially uncertain how to use data and the denaturalisation scenarios that should be used. WRSE will collate details of how companies have carried out denaturalisation and will feed back on how this could be improved, either for inclusion in the initial WRSE regional plan, or for inclusion in later iterations of the plan.
- 2.17 WRSE recognises that there is significant uncertainty involved in the use of hydrological models in water resource planning, particularly when attempting to use stochastically generated data within hydrological models to then estimate the impact of extreme drought events. However, the quantification of this uncertainty and inclusion within a water resources investment plan is a very difficult task. WRSE has not yet attempted to incorporate an allowance for the uncertainty associated with the assessment of '1 in 500-year' events. In a traditional 'target headroom' approach, attempting something such as this would result in a larger target headroom value. WRSE does not consider it appropriate to make potentially large allowances for extreme drought events, and then further allowance for the large uncertainty that exists in the determination of these events, as this may result in an overly conservative plan.



Methods for simulating groundwater-surface water interactions

- 2.18 As outlined above, it is important that the impact of abstractions on river flows is considered appropriately within water resources models. This is particularly the case when substantial changes in abstraction are expected. This section does not include a detailed technical description of methods developed and applied for this purpose, nor does it highlight sources/areas where it is applied. For full details of the methodology, please see the technical report (WRSE commissioned HR Wallingford report, WRSE Hydrological Analysis Groundwater Algorithms).
- 2.19 Water companies have made significant commitments to reducing groundwater abstractions where this abstraction is potentially environmentally detrimental. The most notable recent commitments relate to reductions that WRSE companies will make in 'chalk stream' catchments. The Environment Agency is also doing a significant amount of work to investigate how climate change may impact the environment in the future, and have indicated that other, very significant reductions in abstraction may be required to protect the environment.
- 2.20 It is important that impacts of reductions in groundwater abstraction on downstream surface water abstraction are accounted for in future planning. However, in many cases it is difficult to isolate the impact that changes in groundwater abstraction have on flows, due to the many other factors that have an impact on flows and ecosystem quality. It is often not the case that one unit of reduction in groundwater abstraction leads to an increase of one unit in surface water flows, and the relative amount of surface water gain can be heavily impacted by groundwater levels and hydrogeological conditions and so can vary through the year and from year to year, as well as being different from source to source due to the characteristics of the aquifer body.
- 2.21 As such, work has been undertaken in which an analytical modelling approach has been used to determine the impact of groundwater abstractions on river flows. This method requires flows as an input, and so can consider the time-variant impact of changes in abstraction. This approach provides a more conceptually sound way of considering this impact while providing inputs which can be incorporated into the regional simulation model. The computational effort that is needed to determine the magnitude of flow adjustments using this method is non-trivial and so cannot be incorporated directly within the regional simulation model, and so time series outputs from this work will be used as inputs to the simulation model. There will not be a specific method statement on this approach, but a technical report will be written (HR Wallingford report, WRSE Hydrological Analysis Groundwater Algorithms). These approaches are being developed by external consultants, will be reviewed by separate external consultants as well as water company technical experts, and will be consulted on with the Environment Agency. Since this approach is to be applied outside the simulation model, companies should ensure that abstractions assumed are reasonably representative of appropriate scenarios, either by applying the method iteratively, or by applying the method at different levels of abstraction and applying results appropriately during model runs. It is recognised that this brings a potential feedback loop in this analysis.



Decision points & documentation

- 2.22 As described throughout this method statement, there are several decision points when producing and using models. Examples of decisions to be made are: determining abstractions to underpin denaturalisation scenarios; choosing how to apply stochastic data; and determining rules around when minimum/average/peak source deployable outputs may be used.
- 2.23 For key decisions, keeping appropriate documentation is valuable for later justifying outcomes and decisions further down the modelling chain. In this section, key decision points are identified. Decision makers, those collating decisions across the region, and required documentation are described for identified decisions. There are of course many small decisions made in producing hydrological data for use in the RSS and it is infeasible that all decisions would be recorded, although all decisions should be justifiable if questioned. This section only focusses on high-level decisions.
- 2.24 Assumptions underlying data that companies provide in the development of RSS sub-models should be documented by companies. Again, it is recognised that there are many assumptions made in the development of models, and that documenting all of them is infeasible. However, for key assumptions companies should document and/or be able to justify assumptions, such that they can be justified when questioned.
- 2.25 Companies are required to document how they have applied the stochastic data. Where re-biasing or regridding methods have been used, they should be described. These need not be consistent across WRSE, but WRSE will collate details of methods that have been applied.
- 2.26 Companies will describe to WRSE how they have implemented denaturalisation compatible with the scenarios for which modelling is being undertaken. WRSE will collate this information and feedback as required.
- 2.27 WRSE and company experts will review technical methods associated with groundwater-surface water interaction. The results of these reviews will be documented to justify methods which are applied.
- 2.28 Since companies are producing hydrological data for the RSS, it is largely incumbent on companies to note key assumptions used in their hydrological modelling. WRSE will collate assumptions on aspects of hydrological modelling which apply across the region (e.g. denaturalisation) but cannot know all assumptions that companies will need to make.



Confidence Grades

- 2.29 It is recognised that a methodology will be required for assigning confidence grades to hydrological inputs. However, this has not yet been determined.
- 2.30 It is requested that companies provide confidence grades regarding their input hydrological data, although the criteria for determining grades have not yet been determined. Grades for different components (e.g. naturalised flows, denaturalisation process) will likely be used.
- 2.31 Resulting confidence grades will guide future sensitivity analyses.



3 Summary

- 3.1 This method statement has outlined the hydrological modelling methods being developed and applied to provide inputs for the WRSE regional simulation model.
- 3.2 Aspects of model development/application where key assumptions are required have been detailed, such as denaturalisation approaches and application of stochastic data. Reporting requirements regarding these aspects have been outlined.
- 3.3 Where improvements from WRMP19 methods are being made, for example in assessing surface watergroundwater interactions, new methods being developed have been outlined and technical reports which will be written have been highlighted.



4 Next steps

- 4.1 We consulted on this Method Statement from 1st August 2020 to 30th October 2020. This Method Statement has now been updated to take into account the comments we receive during this consultation process and has been published on our website.
- 4.2 We may need to update parts of our Method Statements in response to regulatory reviews, stakeholder comments or improvements identified during the implementation phase of the methodology.
- 4.3 If any other relevant guidance notes or policies are issued, then we will review the relevant Method Statement(s) and see if they need to be updated.